

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811)  
Chief, Criminal Division

LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446)  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7368  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
ROSENDO SIQUIEROS LARRETA, )  
 )  
a/k/a Armondo Garcia, )  
 )  
a/k/a Rosendo Larette, )  
 )  
Defendant. )  
 )

No. CR 10-0766 JSW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18  
U.S.C. § 3161**

On October 20, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to December 9, 2010, before the Honorable Jeffrey S. White. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between October 20, 2010 and December 9, 2010, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in

///

1 a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent  
2 with this agreement. SO STIPULATED:

3  
4 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

5  
6 DATED: October 21, 2010

7 /s/  
LOWELL C. POWELL  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

8  
9 DATED: October 21, 2010

10 /s/  
RONALD TYLER  
Attorney for ISAAC SANCHEZ MARQUEZ

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the October 20, 2010 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from October 20, 2010 through December 9, 2010 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/25/10

THE HONORABLE  
United States Magistrate Judge

